

# Influence of Soft Magnetic Material type in Fixture Components on the Magnetization of Bonded Neo Magnet and Motor Performance

Angara Raghu C. S. Babu, Kirk Wei Hsu, Paul John Villar, Dr. Sheth Nimitkumar K R&D, Neo Performance Materials Pte Ltd, Singapore, 117525, Singapore

8

(Accepted for publication in an upcoming "IEEE Transactions on Magnetics)

# Outline



- Introduction
- Finite Element Analysis
  - Magnetization
  - Estimated Magnet Flux and Motor Performance

#### Experimental Validation

- Magnetization Process and Magnet Performance
- Effect on Motor Performance
  - Cogging torque and Motor Back-emf
  - No-load speed
  - Load Performance
- Conclusion

# Introduction



- Advantages of isotropic bonded neo magnets
  - Higher magnetic properties than ferrite
  - Near net shape magnet production
  - No heavy rare earth elements
  - Feasibility to obtain wide range of magnetization profiles

# **Magnetization Fixture**



- A magnetization fixture consists of:
  - Copper coils
  - Soft iron / air core
- To achieve radial magnetization profile
  - Back iron made up of soft magnetic material is used.
    - Back iron reduces the magnetizing energy needed to saturate the magnet.
  - Laminated steel is preferred but solid steel is also used for the fixture components.



Approach



- Using Finite Element analysis (FEA), magnetization of magnet and motor performance is evaluated for the following combinations of soft magnetic materials used in magnetizing fixtures.
  - Laminated steel fixture core + Laminated steel back iron (LCLB)
  - Laminated steel fixture core + Solid steel back iron (LCSB)
  - Solid steel fixture core + Solid steel back iron (SCSB)

 Fixtures are fabricated using both laminated and solid steel components and the FEA based observations are validated on both magnet and motor performance.

# **Finite Element Analysis (FEA)**



### **FEA:** Designed Magnetization Fixture

Magnet Dimensional Details

Parameter	Value
Inner diameter	24 mm
Outer diameter	27 mm
Height	29 mm
Magnet grade	MQ1™
Number of poles	4
Flux orientation	Radial



- Fixture is designed to achieve full saturation of the magnet.
  - Minimum 30kG magnetizing field throughout the magnet thickness
- Based on the fixture component materials, following configurations were evaluated
  - Laminated steel fixture core + Laminated steel back iron (LCLB) Benchmark
  - Laminated steel fixture core + Solid steel back iron (LCSB)
  - Solid steel fixture core + Solid steel back iron (SCSB)



### **FEA:** Magnetization





Magnetization flux and induced eddy currents in the fixture

- Use of solid steel components leads to the generation of eddy currents
  - Reduction in effective thickness of back iron
  - Reduces the resultant magnetization field

# **FEA:** Magnetization





Applied magnetization field measured at magnet OD

- The presence of solid steel components ⇒ generation of eddy currents
  - Increase in magnetizing energy and current density in the conductor
    - Reduction of fixture reliability
  - The estimated energy needed to achieve full magnet saturation in LCSB and SCSB combination exceeds the capability of most of the commercially available magnetizers
  - Distorted magnetization flux waveform

### FEA: Closed Circuit Mid Airgap Flux Density



The magnets are magnetized to full saturation by applying the energy required by each combination.



- Mid-airgap flux density waveform:
  - LCLB  $\Rightarrow$  radial
  - LCSB, SCSB  $\Rightarrow$  near to sinusoidal (Halbach)

# **FEA:** Mid Airgap Flux Density and Motor Performance



Comparison of mid-airgap flux density integral and motor performance

Fixture component combination	Mid-airgap flux density integral per pole (kG-°mech)	Back-emf (V)	Peak-peak Cogging Torque (mN-m)
LCLB	289.5	10.2	47.04
LCSB	241.8	10.0	6.19
SCSB	237.8	9.6	5.15

- The presence of solid steel component (LCSB and SCSB) leads to lower flux integral per pole.
  - This is due to the sinusoidal nature of the flux waveform.
- Reduced flux per pole in LCSB and SCSB combinations leads to
  - Lower cogging torque
  - Lower back-emf

# **Experimental Validation**



### FB-08 Experimental Validation: Fabricated Fixture Components





Laminated fixture core

Solid steel fixture core

Laminated back iron

Solid steel back iron

Fabricated fixture components

 Based on the capability of the available magnetizer a maximum of 6 kJ energy is applied during magnetization process.

# **Experimental Validation:** Magnetization





Measured field generated during magnetization

Field at magnet OD for 6kJ applied energy (kG)
29.4
15.6
13.7

### For LCSB and SCSB combinations,

 Presence of solid steel components ⇒ Induced eddy current opposing the applied field ⇒ Partially saturated magnet

# **Experimental Validation:** Magnet Performance





Closed circuit flux scan measurement set-up

#### Comparison of magnet flux integral

Fixture Component Combination	Mid airgap flux density integral per pole (kG-°mech)	Change in integral
LCLB	233.5	-
LCSB	207.6	-11.1%
SCSB	218.1	-6.6%



Comparison of mid airgap flux density for various combinations

### Mid airgap flux density profile

Eddy currents only in back iron
 ⇒ small notch near the transition
 zone in LCSB ⇒ lowest flux
 density integral (LCSB)

# **Experimental Validation:** Magnet Transition Zone



16



- The material used for the fixture components influences the transition zone on the magnet surface.
  - The presence of solid steel component leads to the unwanted secondary transition zones.
  - The presence of eddy currents on both fixture core and the back iron in SCSB combination results in a blurred transition zone on the magnet outer surface.

# **Effect on Motor Performance**

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

### Experimental Validation: Effect on Motor Performance Cogging Torque, Motor Back-emf and No-load Performance

![](_page_17_Picture_2.jpeg)

Comparison of peak-to-peak cogging torque, motor back-emf and no-load performance

Fixture component combination	Motor Back- emf at 3300 rpm (V)	No-load speed (rpm)	Peak-peak Cogging Torque (mN-m)
LCLB	8.53	5062	69.9
LCSB	7.82	5435	25.2
SCSB	8.20	5220	27.9

- LCLB combination has the highest flux and hence,
  - Highest back-emf
  - Lowest no-load speed
  - Highest cogging torque
- In LCSB and SCSB combinations, the presence of unwanted secondary zone leads to,
  - Measured cogging torque > Simulated cogging torque

Effect on Motor Performance: Load Performance

![](_page_18_Picture_2.jpeg)

19

![](_page_18_Figure_3.jpeg)

Fixture Component Combination	Stall Torque (mN-m)	Difference w.r.t LCLB
LCLB	329	-
LCSB	297	-10%
SCSB	313	-5%

Estimated stall-condition parameters

- LCLB combination,
  - Highest flux  $\Rightarrow$  Highest stall torque

# Conclusions

![](_page_19_Picture_2.jpeg)

- The magnetization fixtures made with solid steel components results in
  - Increased energy requirement to generate the saturation magnetization field due to eddy current induction.
  - Partially saturated magnets ⇒ poor motor performance
  - Secondary transition zones ⇒ higher cogging torque
- <u>Use of laminated steel for all soft magnetic components in</u> the magnetization fixture is highly recommended

![](_page_20_Figure_0.jpeg)

# Thank You!!!!!

# **Questions?**

![](_page_20_Figure_3.jpeg)