

#### **Magnetization Fixture Design and Evaluation**



#### **Overview**



- Introduction
- Salient features of the magnetizing fixture design
- Following aspects have been discussed
  - A. Effect of additional back iron during in-situ magnetization
  - B. Laminated back iron v/s solid back iron
  - C. Effect of conductor location
  - D. Effect of fixture slot shaping

## Salient features of the magnetizing fixture design



Factors effecting the distance between conductor and the magnet, 'A':

- Energy required for magnet saturation
  - Increase in 'A'  $\Rightarrow$  Increase in energy for saturation
- Magnetization flux wave shape
  - Radial orientation: conductors close to the magnet
  - Halbach orientation: conductors away from the magnet

In-situ magnetization fixture:

- Limited clearance 'd' between fixture core and conductor overhang
- Conductor size is limited by the available total space between fixture core and pole housing



Salient features of the magnetizing fixture design					
Design parameter	Fixture for Radial orientation	Fixture for Halbach orientation			
Distance between fixture winding and magnet, 'A'	Closed slot design : ≤ 0.50 mm Including sleeve thickness, Semi-open slot : ≤ 0.65 mm Fully open slot : ≤ 0.75 mm	Typically more than 1 mm including the sleeve thickness			
Preferred conductor arrangement	Column Magnet Sleeve Winding turns Fixture slot	Row Magnet Sleeve Fixture slot Winding turns			
Conductor size	<ul> <li>Depends on the following:</li> <li>Required magnetizing flux wave shape and</li> <li>Current density (MQT, Singapore design limit is &lt;15 kA/mm<sup>2</sup> to avoid thermal failure mode of fixture)</li> <li>Ease of handling (bending the wire during fixture winding)</li> <li>Available overhang space (only for in-situ magnetization)</li> </ul>				
Number of turns	Minimum turns required to generate the saturation field of around 3.5 T at the magnet diameter farthest from the fixture winding. Higher no of turns $\Rightarrow$ Increased fixture inductance $\Rightarrow$ Increase time to peak magnetizing current $\Rightarrow$ Fixture overheating/thermal failure				

# Salient features of the magnetizing fixture design



Design parameter	Fixture for Radial orientation	Fixture for Halbach orientation	
Slot type	With skew - Open or semi-open No Skew- Closed	Open slot is preferred to obtain sinusoidal magnetizing flux	
Fixture core material	Laminated steel $\rightarrow$ Prevent eddy current		
Back iron	Required	-N.A-	
Back iron material	Laminated steel $\rightarrow$ Avoid secondary transition zones	-N.A-	
Back iron thickness	Minimum thickness to avoid saturation Rule of thumb - Minimum 10 times the magnet thickness	-N.A-	
Sleeve thickness for semi- open or fully open slots	<ul> <li>Minimum thickness→ Based on structural strength</li> <li>Maximum thickness→ Based on the desired magnetizing waveform. Magnetizing energy needed increases with increase in thickness.</li> </ul>		
	Rule of thumb followed by MQT, Singapore : Sleeve thickness ≥ 0.3 mm		

# Salient aspects of the magnetizing fixture design



Design parameter	Fixture for Radial orientation	Fixture for Halbach orientation	
Fixture stack length	1.2 -1.5 times the magnet axial length to limit the fixture resistance		
Magnetizing current	Limited by the Magnetizer system rating (< 50kA for system at MQT, Singapore)		
Time to peak of magnetizing current	Limited by the Magnetizer system inductive (typical value is < 250 µs for the Magnet	tance :izer at MQT, Singapore)	





#### Effect of Additional Back Iron during In-situ Magnetization – Mid Airgap Flux Density



### Effect of Additional Back Iron during In-situ Magnetization - Magnet Surface Flux Density and Construction Test

- At any applied magnetizing energy, flux per pole is more in case of magnetization with additional back iron due to no saturation in back iron.
- Without any additional back iron, the shape of the mid-air gap flux will shift from radial towards sinusoidal (edges will be rounded).



Flux/pole at various applied magnetizing voltages



### Laminated back iron v/s Solid back iron Flux density comparison on magnet inner diameter





Flux scan of magnet inner diameter

Flux scan set-up Comparison of magnet radial flux for magnetizations with laminated and solid back iron

Type of back yoke during magnetization	Flux integral (T-degree)	Difference in flux integral
Solid	74.34	-
Laminated	89.42	+20.3 %



#### Effect of fixture slot shaping



Flat slot magnetizing fixture System



Curved slot magnetizing fixture system



Motor phase back-emf for magnet orientation achieved using the flat and curved slot magnetizing fixtures



Cogging torque of the motor for magnet magnetized using the flat and curved slot magnetizing fixtures

13

Magnequench

#### Magnetization fixture for Automotive Accessory motor magnet





Fixture cross-section with dimensions

- Back iron and the fixture core: Laminated steel
- Heavy insulated copper wire: AWG 12
- Cooling pipe: Brass (6 mm diameter)
- Connecting terminals: Copper
- Casing: Delrin (Polyoxymethylene)
- Sleeve: Stainless steel
- For series production, the magnetization cycle time is determined by the following:
  - Energy required for magnetization
  - Cooling system
  - Magnetizing system rating



#### **Magnetization fixture design**

Fixture outline



9400.0



Current density plot @ peak magnetizing current

16

#### Magnetization fixture design





Flux distribution @ peak magnetizing current



Flux density plot @ peak magnetizing current

## Magnetization Fixture – Structural Design Details



Fixture and back iron structural dimensions

18

ne

Magnequench

#### **Fixture Fabrication**





Fixture casing with cooling pipe installed



Completed fixture



Wound core mounted on the cooling pipe and connected to the terminals



Fixture set-up for magnetization



**Note:** A single layer flux scan measurement and does not represent the integrated flux over the entire axial length of the magnet.



Magnetizing current for various magnetization energy conditions Saturation curve

- Saturation test is used to identify the energy required to fully saturate the magnet.
- Saturation test procedure:
  - To generate the saturation curve an integral of magnet flux per pole is charted incrementally as magnetizing energy is increased.
  - The magnet is saturated when a significant increase in magnetizing energy results in less than 2% change in magnet flux per pole.

### Case Study - Magnetizing of Isotropic Bonded



#### Case Study – Magnetizing of an Isotropic Bonded Neo Arc Magnets – Magnetizing Fixtures





#### Case Study – Magnetizing of an Isotropic Bonded Neo Arc Magnets – Magnetizing Fixture and Orientation of Flux











Case Study - Magnetizing of an Isotropic Bonded Neo Arc Magnets – Mid Airgap Flux Density





#### Case Study – Magnetizing of an Isotropic Bonded Neo Arc Magnets – Design Flow

One FEA model solves for magnetization, and...

...the result...





... is used in the application FEA.







